

# NEWSLETTER

October, 1993

## LOUISIANA'S GROWING INDUSTRIAL NATURAL GAS MARKET

by Wumi Iledare and Allan Pulsipher

Louisiana's industrial natural gas market is large, it is growing, it is competitive and it is likely to become more so.

Unlike residential and commercial gas markets, industrial markets are generally unregulated. The Louisiana Public Service Commission does not review rates charged industrial customers. Local government-owned or -regulated systems may set or regulate industrial rates, but, with the exception of New Orleans Public Service, these systems are small participants in the industrial market.

In 1992, seventy-three gas companies delivered 990 Billion cubic feet (Bcf) of natural gas to industrial customers in Louisiana. Over the ten year period from 1982 to 1992 sales of natural gas to residential and commercial customers in Louisiana have declined by about 21 percent. Although in part this is a statistical aberration caused by warmer-than-normal winters in the 1990s, residential and commercial growth, at best, has been sedate. In contrast, as shown in Figure 1, the volume of gas purchased by industrial customers has increased steadily--by a healthy 45 percent over the same period.

Figure 1 currently not available.

Industrial customers acquire gas in two ways. They either buy gas from natural gas companies (pipelines as well as the more familiar local distribution companies) or they buy gas from producers and have it sent to them through pipelines usually owned by someone else. Gas sold under the first arrangement is classified as "on-system sales" and gas sold under the second "transportation gas on the account of others," for simplicity we will refer to the first as "on-system gas" and the second as "transportation gas."

About 45 percent of the gas consumed by industrial users in 1991 was on-system gas and 55 percent was transportation gas. As illustrated in Figure 1, however, between 1991 and 1992 both the share and the volume of transportation gas jumped by about 10 percent, taking over almost 70 percent of the industrial gas market. Increased reliance on transportation gas is a trend that began in 1987 (interrupted briefly in 1990 as a result of the supply uncertainty associated with the Persian Gulf War). The trend is primarily the result of changes in federal regulations.

Will the trend toward transportation gas continue? The Congress and federal regulators have continued to push for changes that promote flexibility and encourage competition in the natural gas industry, which suggests that it will. However, should the "gas bubble" finally deflate and fears of shortages be reawakened, industrial customers may return to on-system gas contracts to reduce uncertainty about supplies.

In terms of total volume, the on-system and the transportation gas markets are dominated by large companies--both as sellers (or transporters) and as buyers. On the sellers' side, the largest 20 companies accounted for 99.5 percent of on-system sales. The four largest sellers, each a large pipeline company and none serving more than 50 customers, alone, were responsible for 80 percent of on-system sales.

The largest 20 companies also accounted for nearly 75 percent of the transportation gas with the largest four responsible for 21 percent. We do not have data on the prices charged for transporting gas but for large users we expect the market is as competitive, if not more so, as it is for on-system sales.

On the buyers' side, the data available does

not identify individual buyers. However, we know that the largest four sellers in the on-system market had only 8.5 percent of the total number of customers in that market. The largest company, Louisiana Gas Pipeline Company, was responsible for 25 percent of total on-system sales, but had fewer than 20 industrial customers.

Table One gives the name, type, total deliveries of on-system and transportation gas, number of customers, share of transportation gas in their total supply, average price charged for on-system sales and the average acquisition cost of gas for the forty largest suppliers, arranged in descending order by total deliveries; for 1991, the latest year for which we have detailed data.

The data are imperfect in that prices and acquisition costs are available only for on-system gas, one customer may buy transportation gas from a number of different producers, and the acquisition cost applies to gas sold in residential and commercial markets as well as industrial markets.

Clearly there are a wide variety of companies and arrangements in the industrial market. A number of companies serve only one customer, some entirely with on-system gas and others solely with transportation gas. Some are independent companies, many are subsidiaries of or managerially related to gas producing or using firms. Thus, pricing policies may reflect corporate financial and accounting objectives as well as traditional cost and market conditions.

Louisiana Gas Pipeline, Bridgeline, Monterey, Louisiana Gas System and Louisiana Intrastate Gas largely serve the industrial market; selling to a relatively small number of large industrial customers, with the first four charging nearly identical prices and acquiring gas at closely comparable costs.

NOPSI, Arkla, Trans Louisiana Gas and Gulf States are more traditional distribution companies with a larger number of industrial customers and a sizeable residential and commercial base. They charge significantly higher prices and pay more for their gas than the previous group. A major reason for their higher acquisition costs is that they include capacity and storage charges levied because of the seasonal variation of their residential and commercial load. Such charges are not required of systems that have only seasonally steady industrial loads. Three companies in this group; Arkla, NOPSI, and Trans Louisiana Gas had average industrial prices that were lower in absolute terms than the average cost of the gas that they sold. The probable explanation for this apparent anomaly is that these companies allocated their additional capacity and storage charges more fully

Table 1 currently not available.

#### **PULISPHER PUBLISHES ON NUCLEAR WASTE**

Allan Pulsipher, Director, Policy Analysis, recently had two of his articles on nuclear waste policy published; "A de facto Repository for the U.S.? The Risk of Interim Storage of High-Level Nuclear Waste," was published in the July issue of Energy Policy, and "Compensation, Will It Produce a Waste Site," in the Spring issue Forum for Applied Research and Public Policy.

#### **MARATHON ENDOWS PROFESSORSHIP**

Marathon Oil Co. has endowed a professorship in energy policy in the Center for Energy Studies. The \$60,000 commitment will be eligible for \$40,000 of additional matching funds from the state's Louisiana Education Quality Support Fund administered by the State Board of Regents. Marathon, which has operated in Louisiana since 1921, maintains oil and gas production in north, south and offshore Louisiana, operates its flagship refinery in Garyville, is the major shareholder in LOOP, Inc., the nation's only deep water & oil

importation facility and also operates pipelines and some marketing in the state.

Marathon's Gulf Coast Region manager, David Golder, said the donation is a part of Marathon's long-term commitment to Louisiana. "We have long been impressed with the objectives and the timely nature of energy policy studies at LSU's Center for Energy