LSU Integrative Learning Core (ILC) **Proficiency: Oral Communication Core Assessment Proficiency Dimensions Graduating Assessment** (performance indicators are based on the rigor of the discipline) Three levels of performance intended for 1000 and 2000 level courses approved as ILC courses. The 'meeting' level of The capstone level of performance is performance is LSU benchmark for the ILC curriculum. LSU benchmark for graduating **Description** seniors. APPROACHING 1 **MEETING 2 EXCEEDING 3 CAPSTONE 4** NOT APPROACHING 0 Organizational pattern (specific Organization introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is clearly and consistently observable and is skillful and makes the content of the presentation cohesive. Language choices are imaginative, Language memorable, and compelling, and enhance the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in presentation is appropriate to audience. Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, Delivery eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) make the presentation compelling, and speaker appears polished and confident. A variety of types of supporting Supporting Material materials (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make appropriate reference to information or analysis that significantly supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic. Central message is compelling Central Message (precisely stated, appropriately repeated, memorable, and strongly supported.)

ORAL COMMUNICATION RUBRIC

Definition

Oral communication is a prepared, purposeful presentation designed to increase knowledge, to foster understanding, or to promote change in the listeners' attitudes, values, beliefs, or behaviors.

Framing Language

Oral communication takes many forms. This rubric is specifically designed to evaluate oral presentations of a single speaker at a time and is best applied to live or video-recorded presentations. For panel presentations or group presentations, it is recommended that each speaker be evaluated separately. This rubric best applies to presentations of sufficient length such that a central message is conveyed, supported by one or more forms of supporting materials and includes a purposeful organization. An oral answer to a single question not designed to be structured into a presentation does not readily apply to this rubric.

Glossary

Central message: The main point/thesis/"bottom line"/"take-away" of a presentation. A clear central message is easy to identify; a compelling central message is also vivid and memorable.

Delivery techniques: Posture, gestures, eye contact, and use of the voice. Delivery techniques enhance the effectiveness of the presentation when the speaker stands and moves with authority, looks more often at the audience than at his/her speaking materials/notes, uses the voice expressively, and uses few vocal fillers ("um," "uh," "like," "you know," etc.).

Language: Vocabulary, terminology, and sentence structure. Language that supports the effectiveness of a presentation is appropriate to the topic and audience, grammatical, clear, and free from bias. Language that enhances the effectiveness of a presentation is also vivid, imaginative, and expressive.

Organization: The grouping and sequencing of ideas and supporting material in a presentation. An organizational pattern that supports the effectiveness of a presentation typically includes an introduction, one or more identifiable sections in the body of the speech, and a conclusion. An organizational pattern that enhances the effectiveness of the presentation reflects a purposeful choice among possible alternatives, such as a chronological pattern, a problem-solution pattern, an analysis-of-parts pattern, etc., that makes the content of the presentation easier to follow and more likely to accomplish its purpose.

Supporting material: Explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities, and other kinds of information or analysis that supports the principal ideas of the presentation. Supporting material is generally credible when it is relevant and derived from reliable and appropriate sources. Supporting material is highly credible when it is also vivid and varied across the types listed above (e.g., a mix of examples, statistics, and references to authorities). Supporting material may also serve the purpose of establishing the speakers credibility. For example, in presenting a creative work such as a dramatic reading of Shakespeare, supporting evidence may not advance the ideas of Shakespeare, but rather serve to establish the speaker as a credible Shakespearean actor.

	Not Approaching 0	Approaching 1	Meeting 2	Exceeding 3	Capstone 4
			(CORE ASSESSMENT		(GRADUATING
			BENCHMARK)		ASSESSMENT
					BENCHMARK)
Organization	Does not meet level 1	Organizational pattern (specific	Organizational pattern (specific	Organizational pattern (specific	Organizational pattern (specific
	achievement target of	introduction and conclusion,	introduction and conclusion,	introduction and conclusion,	introduction and conclusion,
	'Approaching'.	sequenced material within the	sequenced material within the	sequenced material within the	sequenced material within the
		body, and transitions) is not	body, and transitions) is	body, and transitions) is clearly	body, and transitions) is clearly
		observable within the	intermittently observable within	and consistently observable	and consistently observable and is
		presentation.	the presentation.	within the presentation.	skillful and makes the content of
		-		-	the presentation cohesive.
Language	Does not meet level 1	Language choices are unclear	Language choices are mundane	Language choices are	Language choices are
	achievement target of	and minimally support the	and commonplace and partially	thoughtful and generally	imaginative, memorable, and
	'Approaching'.	effectiveness of the presentation.	support the effectiveness of the	support the effectiveness of the	compelling, and enhance the
		Language in presentation is not	presentation. Language in	presentation. Language in	effectiveness of the presentation.
		appropriate to audience.	presentation is appropriate to	presentation is appropriate to	Language in presentation is
			audience.	audience.	appropriate to audience.
Delivery	Does not meet level 1	Delivery techniques (posture,	Delivery techniques (posture,	Delivery techniques (posture,	
	achievement target of	gesture, eye contact, and vocal	gesture, eye contact, and vocal	gesture, eye contact, and vocal	
	'Approaching'.	expressiveness) detract from the	expressiveness) make the	expressiveness) make the	
	0	understandability of the	presentation understandable, and	•	
		presentation, and speaker	speaker appears tentative.		
		appears uncomfortable.	1 11		