

Discover Day
Oral Presentation Scoring Rubric
Category– Humanities, Social Sciences, and
Arts

Rating Key: 0= No Attempt 1= Developing 2= Competent 3= Exemplary

Judge Instructions Please rate the presentation from 0 to 3 on each of the following categories (circle one). Include comments and award recommendations.

Category	Rating NoAt Dev Comp Exemp
1. Statement of original research question or problem: a.	
3. Methods/Theoretical Framework: a. Clearly describes the methods or theoretical approaches that are used	

Discover Day Oral Presentation Rating Chart

Category	0 = No Attempt	1= Developing	2= Competent	3= Exemplary
1. Statement of Research Question or Problem	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Lacking an original research question or problem b. Lacking an explanation of the justification for and the significance of the study 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Poorly stated original research question or problem b. Poor explanation of the justification for and the significance of the study 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Adequately stated original research question or problem b. Adequate explanation of the justification for and the significance of the study 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Clearly stated original research question or problem b. Clear explanation of the justification for and the significance of the study
2. Background information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Lacking an acknowledgment of existing research or scholarship b. Lacking explanation of why this study is needed to fill a gaps or add to existing knowledge 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Poorly acknowledges existing research or scholarship b. Poor explanation of why this study is needed to fill a gaps or add to existing knowledge 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Adequately acknowledges existing research or scholarship b. Adequate explanation of why this study is needed to fill a gaps or add to existing knowledge 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Clearly acknowledges existing research or scholarship b. Clear explanation of why this study is needed to fill a gaps or add to existing knowledge
3. Methods/Theoretical Framework	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Lacking a description of methods or theoretical framework 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Poor description of methods or theoretical framework 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Adequate description of methods or theoretical framework 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Clear description of methods or theoretical framework
4. Analysis/Results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Lacking a clear presentation of data 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Poor presentation of data 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Adequate presentation of data 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Clear presentation of data
5. Conclusions/Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Lacking to show how results or arguments effectively address the question(s) raised b. Does not convey the significance and importance of the finding or arguments 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Poorly shows how results or arguments effectively address the question(s) raised b. Poorly conveys the significance and importance of the finding or arguments 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Adequately shows how results or arguments effectively address the question(s) raised b. Adequately conveys the significance and importance of the finding or arguments 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Clearly shows how results or arguments effectively address the question(s) raised b. Clearly conveys the significance and importance of the finding or arguments
6. Presentation Overall (presentation and Q&A)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Ineffective presentation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Poor presentation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Adequate presentation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Clear presentation

7.