- I. Call to Order. Singh called meeting to order at 11 am
- II. Roll Call

Present:ParamSingh(Chair) Gerry Knapp, Juan Moreno, Fabio Del Piero, Sam Robison, Jeffrey Roland, Cra Moolley (Ex-officio), Sumit Jain (Ex-officio), Scott Baldridg (special advisor)

Absent: Ken Lopata FannyRamirez Larry Smolinsky

- III. Public Comments There were public comments.
- IV. Ad Hoc FS IT Meeting Minutes Approval from 17 May 2023 After minoramendments Knapp moved to approve the minutes are seconded. Motion passed animously.
- V. Unfinished Business
 - x Discussion on IT Policy PS121
 - o Singh informed that to get input on the issue of allowed open licenset2for PS

the problems we discuss relative to 128ST-3 (Applications Acceptable Use), PS 132ST-1 (Endpoint Protection), PS 2ST-6 (Endpoint Application Management) to be the most concerning because these:

- 1. Place a burden on all researchers to fill out IT100 forms for every application they need to install (which can run into the hundreds for computationally oriented scientists).
- 2 Require the installation of monitoring software on "university owned" hardware without a clear privacy policy (or any discussion of how privacy will be maintained).
- 3. Remove the ability of individuals purchasing computer hardware (e.g. on a grant or other funds) from serving as the administrator of their own machines. This is critical for many researchers and instructors particularly those working in computationally oriented felds. μ
- o Knapp moved not to revisit things we have already approximal include any comments received in a future round when committee revisits policies. Robison seconded. Singh and Rolandedthat such an approach will be in conflict with the email of Faculty Senate on 4/27/23 which requested faculty across LSU to send the feedback to thicommittee by 5/31/23. Votes in favor: Knapp, Robison. Votes against: Del Piero, Moreno, Roland, SMglion did not pass.
- o Moreno moved to postpone the discussion of 2715 5/25/23 meeting when Lopata returns from travel. Roland seconded. Passer downs y.

x Discussion on IT Policy PS20ST4

o PS120ST4(E) changed to

All policies and standards must follow University processes of policy review and processes. However, all new and/or updated policies and standards also be viewed by IT Governance Council (ITGC) subcommittees Department IT Subcommittee and Research Technology Subcommittee, and Andoc Faculty Senate IT Committee (FS IT Committee) prior to being submitted to to TGC for review and approval. Where applicately solders such as Sub Atter Experts, functional/technical teams impacted by policies, etc., should be in the review process for new and/or updates to policies and standards.

Any changes to baselines must be reviewed by LSTCAM and/or its designee

o PS120ST4F) changed to

Any request for a policy and/or standard exception must be submitteed treation Security Team.

- 1. All exceptions must be evaluated by Information Security Tealhabioration with the ubmitter and relevant stakeholders, to determine information security risk. The evaluation must be formally documented analyzed upon by all stakeholders.
- 2. The exception requests would then be submitted for approval to LTSG/AMInd/or its designee.
- 3. A master document must be maintained for all exceptions.
- 4. All exception requests must be evaluated on an annual basis, at **minimus** empted from the process by the exception approval process.
- 5. If an exception request is denied, the submittee cequest can appeted decision to the panel of Chairs and/or designee of Departmen Subcommittee, Research Technology Subcommittee, and Adhoc FacultySenate IT Committee (FS IT Committee).
- o Singh asked Woolleyrervised PS120ST4(E) is inanyconflict with the agreement signed between Office of Academic Affairs, ITS and this committee on 5/15/23.

Woolley replied thate sees conflictbetween the above paragraph and the signed agreement Woolley also tedthat ITGC has passed a resolution firming the role of this committee in the process prior to approval of policies and standards. Roland stressed the importance of shared governance, faculty being the key stakeholders for IT matters, and the importance of upholding the spirit of the agreement and the above paragraph in future.

- o Knapp moved that Faculty Senate bring it to the upper administration on defining the authority of this committee whether it is advisory or whether it image power in the approval processal and seconded. Passed nimously
- x Discussion on IT Policy PS22 and PS23

Roland moved that we table the discussion-6223 nd P\$23 to early June. Robison seconded. Passed unanimously.

- x Discussion on IT Policy PIS24
 - o Roland and Jain noted that definition of assetstill needs to be clarified.
 - o Knapp moved to approve the main document of **24S** with the caveat that the definition of assets needs to be revised. Roland seconded. Passed unanimously.
 - o Lengthy discussion on Data Functional Owners-in2#ST1 led byRobison and Roland.

Meeting adjournet 2:31pm.